



Project: Cultural Routes for Sustainable Social and economic Development in Mediterranean - CROSSDEV  
 Financed by the ENI CBC MED Programme, Ref. n. A\_A.1.3\_0090

## Sub-grants for local authorities

### CROSSDEV Project, Palestine

#### CISP

#### Evaluation checklist for field visit

General information about the applicant	
Name of the applicant - local authority	
Name of the person in charge	
Village/City	

No	Item	Points
1	<b>The Location:</b>	
1.1	Is the project' location/site located along or nearby the hiking trail?	
1.2	Is the project' location/site already attracting visitors (local and international tourists, groups from your operators etc)? If yes, how many on yearly base?	
1.3	Does the project' location / site has clear potential to attract more visitors?	
1.4	Is the project' location / site accessible by public transportation?	
1.5	Is the project' location/site' accessible for people with disabilities?	



1.6	Is the project' location / site, and the surrounding area, well maintained, clean, enjoyable?	
1.7	If the project is to be implemented in a building/infrastructure: is the building/infrastructure in acceptable condition, respecting safety measures?	
2	<b>The applicant:</b>	
2.1	The applicant or one of its members/staff able is to speak at least 1 foreign language - preferably English	
2.2	The applicant or one of its members/staff is able to regularly use social media to promote the project	
2.3	The applicant proves to have good information about the village/area' history, traditions and cultural sites	
2.4	The applicant proves to have good knowledge about tourism in the area and how to develop it	
2.5	The applicant has the willing for future investments in its project	
2.6	The applicant has an operational plan for the management of the project / site	
2.7	The applicant has a marketing plan for the project and/or for the village/area/community	
3	<b>The proposed project / idea:</b>	
3.1	Received approval and encouragement from community members, neighbours, MOTA or other relevant stakeholders	
3.2	Realistic and applicable in the proposed village /city	
3.3	Creative and innovative	
3.4	Relevant to the actual needs	
3.5	Has no foreseeable negative impact on the local environment	
3.6	Relevant to the objective of attracting visitors	



### Evaluation decision:

<b>Names of the evaluation committee and positions:</b>	Signature
<b>1.</b>	
<b>2.</b>	
<b>3.</b>	
<b>Date</b>	
<b>Total points</b>	
<b>GENERAL EVALUATION</b> <b>Very unsatisfied / Unsatisfied / Satisfied / Very satisfied</b>	

How to evaluate:

1. Very unsatisfied / 2. Unsatisfied / 3. Satisfied / 4. Very satisfied